

Abstract

Shear failures in concrete structures are very hazardous. These failures can rarely be predicted and often happen suddenly. For years tests have been carried out on this property.

This study involved testing plain concrete beams of variable cross-section size and three concrete grades. Compressive strength tests were carried out as control for the shear tests. For each grade 7 day and 28 day compressive tests were carried out. The beams were tested for shear using a properly designed setup and these values recorded. Six beams were tested for each grade and the results compared against the compressive test values.

The study showed an increase in the shear strength with increase in compressive strength and also showed an increase in shear strength with increase in effective depth. Assuming a value of 1 for A_s in the formulae for design shear stress calculation in BS 8110-1:1997, design shear stress v_c values were calculated and compared with the shear strength values. A relationship was established whereby there was an increase in design shear stress with increase in shear strength. Another parameter was the angle of shear failure which was steeper than expected.

Dedication

To my beloved mum and dad

Acknowledgements

My greatest gratitude goes to the Almighty God for the strength, good health and the peace of mind that enabled me to complete this study.

I express my grateful appreciation to my project supervisor Eng. S.Miringu, and lecturer at the University of Nairobi, Department of Civil & Construction Engineering for always being available. His comments and suggestions were very helpful

I wish to appreciate the following people for their valuable information:

Mr Muchina – A Concrete laboratory Technician. University of Nairobi, Department of Civil & Construction Engineering.

Jared Ngare – a Laboratory Technician MOTI consultancy

Finally, I wish to thank all my classmates and students who assisted me in my laboratory work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page no
Abstract	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
1.Introduction	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Problem statement	2
1.3 Objectives	2
1.4 outline of the study	3
2. Literature review	4
2.1 Concrete	4
2.1.1 Classification	5
2.1.2 Concrete composites	6
2.1.2.1 Cement	6
2.1.2.1.1Portland cement	7
2.1.2.2 Aggregates	12
2.1.2.3 Water	13
2.2 Stress-strain behaviour in concrete	13
2.2.1 Compression	14
2.2.2 Tension	15
2.2.3 Modulus of elasticity	16
2.3 Shear	16
2.3.1 Shear stresses in beams	17
2.3.2 Shear stress distribution in a rectangular beam cross section	17
2.3.3 Shear Transfer Mechanism	19
2.3.4Modes of shear failure	20
2.3.5 Shear cracks	22
2.3.6 Design shear stress	22
2.4 Principal behind setup used	22
2.5 Workability test	24
2.5.1 Slump test	24
3. Laboratory procedures and test methods	26
3.1. Experimental descriptions	26
3.1.1 Compressive strength	26
3.1.2 Shear strength	28
3.1.3 Slump Test	33
3.1.4 Mix proportions	35
3.1.5 Curing and curing regime	36
4. Results, Analysis and discussion	37
4.1 Experimental Results	37

4.2 Analysis of results	39
4.2.1 Summary analysis	39
4.2.2 Shear strength in relation to the compressive strength	40
4.2.3 Shear strength in relation to cross section area	42
4.2.4 Shear strength in relation to effective depth	44
4.2.5 Relationship between design shear stress v_c and shear strength τ	46
4.3 Discussion	47
4.3.1 Relation between shear and compressive strength of concrete	47
4.3.2 Relation between shear and cross section area of concrete	47
4.3.3 Relation between shear and effective depth of concrete	48
4.3.4 Relation between shear strength and design shear stress	48
4.3.5 Mode of shear occurrence in plain concrete	49
4.3.6 Failure occurrence in plain concrete according to mode of shear failure	49
5. Conclusion and recommendations	50
5.1 Conclusion	50
5.2 Recommendations	50
REFERENCES	51
6. Appendices	53
6.1 Appendix A and batch calculations	53
6.2 Appendix B: Mix proportions	55
6.2.1 Summary of proportions used	55
6.2.2 Preliminary quantities of materials required	55
6.2.3 Aggregate gradation	57
6.3 Appendix C: Trial mix report	59
6.3.1 Proportions of constituents	59
6.3.2 Quantities used	59
6.3.3 Tests carried out	60
6.3.4 Results	60
6.3.4.1 Fresh State test	60
6.3.4.2 Hardened concrete tests	60
6.4 Appendix D: Project photos	62

LIST OF TABLES

Page No

Table 1.1: Tests carried out	3
Table 2.1: General features of the main types of Portland cement	7
Table 4.1: Slump values	37
Table 4.2: Compression test values	37
Table 4.3: Shear Stress Results	38
Table 4.4: Results for angle of shear failure	38
Table 4.5: Summary of shear strength relation to compressive strength	39
Table 4.6: Summary of shear strength relation to cross section area	39
Table 4.7: Summary of shear strength relation to design shear stress	39
Table 4.8: Summary of shear strength relation to design shear stress class 30	39
Table 6.1: samples required for cube crushing test	53
Table 6.2: samples required for shear test	53
Table 6.3: Proportions summary	55
Table 6.4: Aggregate gradation	57
Table 6.5: Trial mix slump test results	60
Table 6.6: Trial mix compressive test results	61

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Stress-strain curve for compression in concrete	14
Figure 2.2: Stress-strain curve for tension in concrete	15
Figure 2.3: Modulus of elasticity	16
Figure 2.4: Beam cross section	17
Figure 2.5: Shear stress distribution in a rectangular cross section	19
Figure 2.6: Shear transfer mechanism in a typical cracked section	19
Figure 2.7: Formation of Diagonal Tension Cracks	20
Figure 2.8: Shear compression failure for small span	21
Figure 2.9: True shear failure	21
Figure 2.10: Cantilever	23
Figure 2.11: Types of slump	25
Figure 3.1: Mould preparation	27
Figure 3.2: Ready Beam formwork	29
Figure 3.3: Fastened formwork after compaction	30
Figure 3.4: shear test setup	31
Figure 3.5: Diagrammatic representation of setup	32
Figure 3.6: Measurement of slump	34
Figure 3.7: slump illustration in the research.	34
Figure 4.1: Relationship between shear strength and compressive strength 150×250×500 beam	40

Figure 4.2: Relationship between shear strength and compressive strength 150×150×500 beam	41
Figure 4.3: Relationship between shear strength and compressive strength 100×100×500 beam	41
Figure 4.4: Relationship between shear strength and cross section area class 20	42
Figure 4.5: Relationship between shear strength and cross section area class 25	43
Figure 4.6: Relationship between shear strength and cross section area class 30	43
Figure 4.7: Relationship between shear strength and effective depth class 20	44
Figure 4.8: Relationship between shear strength and effective depth class 25	45
Figure 4.9: Relationship between shear strength and effective depth class 30	45
Figure 4.10: Relationship between shear strength τ and design shear stress v_c for class 20 and 25	46
Figure 4.11: Relationship between shear strength and design shear stress v_c for class 30	46
Figure 6.1: Aggregate gradation curves	58
Figure 6.2: Beam shear failure	62
Figure 6.3: Angle of shear failure for class 30 150×250 beam cross section	63
Figure 6.4: Setting up of shear apparatus for 100× 100 beam cross section	63
Figure 6.5: 150 × 150 beam cross section setup	63

Table of acronyms

Compound	Formula
Calcium oxide (lime)	CaO
Silicon dioxide (silica)	SiO₂
Water	H₂O
Calcium hydroxide	Ca (OH)₂
Tri-calcium aluminate	C₃A
Alite or tricalcium silicate	C₃S
Sulphate	SO₄